

## STERIGMATOCYSTIN PRESENCE IN DIFFERENT LATVIAN BREAD SAMPLES

Aleksandrs Versilovskis<sup>1,2</sup>, Velga Mikelsons<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Diagnostic Centre (NDC), Food and Environmental investigations laboratory,  
Lejupes iela 3, Riga LV - 1076, Latvia, e-mail: [aleksandrs.versilovskis@yahoo.com](mailto:aleksandrs.versilovskis@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup>Latvia University of Agriculture, Department of Chemistry,  
Liela Street 2, Jelgava LV 3001, Latvia, e-mail: [velgamik@inbox.lv](mailto:velgamik@inbox.lv)

### Abstract

29 samples of different types of bread purchased from the local Latvian supermarkets were analysed for sterigmatocystin (STC) content. STC is carcinogenic and mutagenic mycotoxin produced by fungi of many *Aspergillus species* and it is a precursor of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in the biological transformation.

Analysis of STC were done using previously developed method. Method includes sample extraction with acetonitrile: water solution (84:16, v/v), extract clean up on Strata X solid phase extraction (SPE) column, extract concentrating by evaporation under nitrogen and further redissolving in mobile phase, separation on reversed phase C<sub>18</sub> high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) column and STC detection by high performance liquid chromatography – tandem massspectrometry with electrospray positive ionization (LC – ESI<sup>+</sup> – MS/MS).

17.2 % of analyzed samples were positive for STC with the concentration levels ranging from 2.4 to 7.1 µg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

From 6 rye bread samples only one (16.7%) was contaminated with STC, from 9 rye-wheat bread samples only one (11.1%) was contaminated with STC and 3 (21.4%) of 14 wheat bread samples were contaminated with STC. Totally 4 (80%) of 5 contaminated samples contained whole grains as the main ingredient.

So, whole grain bread can be a possible source of STC, however positive samples contain quite low levels of STC.

**Key words:** Sterigmatocystin, mycotoxin, bread, *Aspergillus spp.*, *Aspergillus versicolor*, LC-MS/MS

### Introduction

STC is a mycotoxin produced by fungi of many *Aspergillus spp.* (Atalla *et al.*, 2003). Its molecular structure is similar to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB). It is a precursor of AFB in the biological transformation (Betina, 1989).

STC is a carcinogenic compound that has been shown to affect various species of experimental animals (Purchase *et al.*, 1970) and it is classified as a 2B carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) (IARC, 1976). There are many reports about toxicity, carcinogenicity, mutagenicity, and teratogenicity of STC (Sweeney *et al.*, 1999; Tong-xi *et al.*, 2000). STC is an important contaminant of building materials and dwellings (Engelhart *et al.*, 2002; Nielsen *et al.*, 1999). Natural occurrence in food and food products appears to be infrequent although only a limited number of surveys have been carried out. There are reports about the occurrence of STC in grains (Scott, 1972; Shannon *et al.*, 1976; Mills *et al.*, 1986; Rao *et al.*, 2000), in rapeseeds (Mills *et al.*, 1986), in soybeans (Shannon *et al.*, 1976), in cheese (Francis *et al.*, 1987; Lund *et al.*, 1995; Abdalla *et al.*, 1996; Scudamore *et al.*, 1996), in spices (red pepper, caraway, cumin and marjoram) (ElKady *et al.*, 1995), in cocoa beans (Hurst *et al.*, 1987), in vegetables (Thurm *et al.*, 1976), in pistachio nuts (Sommer *et al.*, 1976), in coffee beans (Purchase *et al.*, 1973) and in feed (Scudamore *et al.*, 1997; Domagala *et al.*, 1997).

Neither country has legislation for STC, however some countries have set already relatively low maximum levels for STC (e.g., Czech Republic and Slovakia at the level 5 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for rice, vegetables, potatoes, flour, poultry, meat, milk, and 20 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> for other foods) (Stroka, 2004) and soon after STC was recognized as a highly toxic compound, the California Department of Health Services used TD 50 values from the Cancer Potency Database to produce “no significant risk” intake levels for humans. The level resulting was 8 µg kg<sup>-1</sup> body weight/day for a 70 kg adult (European Mycotoxin Awareness Network).

The aim of this study is to research samples of different type of bread, that are available in local supermarkets, for STC content using sensitive LC-MS/MS method (Versilovskis *et al.*, 2007).

## Materials and Methods

### *Bread samples*

Bread samples (6 rye bread, 9 rye-wheat bread and 14 wheat bread) were purchased from local supermarkets.

Before the analysis, the samples were crushed and then homogenized.

### *Chemicals and reagents*

Methanol (HPLC-grade) and acetonitrile (HPLC-grade) was purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Deionized water was purified with Millipore Milli-Q Plus system (Millipore, Molsheim, France). STC standard was purchased from Sigma (St Louis, MO, USA). Argon (AGA, Latvia) was used as a collision gas in the mass spectrometry.

### *Preparation of standards*

A stock solution of a concentration of approximately  $500 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$  was prepared by dissolving 5 mg of STC in 10 ml of acetonitrile/methanol (50:50, v/v). An aliquot 500  $\mu\text{l}$  of the stock solution was evaporated to dryness under oxygen-free nitrogen at ambient temperature and immediately redissolved in acetonitrile (5 ml).

The calibrated stock solution ( $50 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{ml}^{-1}$ ) was used to prepare a standard stock solution of 5  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  of STC, in acetonitrile/water (75:25, v/v). This solution was used to spike samples for recovery experiments, and to prepare working standards  $0.25 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ ,  $0.1 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ ,  $0.05 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$  and  $0.005 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$  as equivalents to  $25 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ,  $10 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ,  $5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  and  $0.5 \mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ .

### *Sample preparation*

An amount of 25 g of homogenized sample was extracted with 16% of water in acetonitrile (100 ml) for 30 min using a horizontal shaker. After filtering through a filter paper, 10 ml of the raw extract was diluted with 20 ml water and purified using Strata X (500 mg) SPE column (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). Purifying procedure: column was conditioned with 6 ml methanol, followed by 6 ml of water prior to use, then 30 ml of diluted extract was loaded in the column, then column was washed with 35% acetonitrile in water, then with 35% methanol in water and STC was eluted with 4 ml of 100% acetonitrile. The resulting eluate was evaporated to dryness under nitrogen at 60 °C and re-dissolved in 250  $\mu\text{l}$  25% water in acetonitrile. The calibrants were prepared by spiking the blank matrix with the standard and prepared in the same way as the samples.

### *LC-MS/MS –analysis*

A Waters Alliance 2695 liquid chromatograph (Waters) was connected to a MicroMass Quattro LC triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (Micromass, Manchester, UK). An electrospray ionization (ESI) probe in the positive mode was used in the analysis of STC. The mobile phase consisted of 0.01 % formic acid in acetonitrile and 0.01% formic acid in water (75:25, v/v) used in isocratic regime. The column used was a Phenomenex Luna C<sub>18</sub>(2) (5  $\mu\text{m}$ ), 150x3.0 mm (Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The flow rate was 0.3 ml/min, column temperature was 30 °C and the injection volume was 50  $\mu\text{l}$ . The parameters of the mass spectrometer were optimized using the STC standard. The best response was recorded with the following parameters: cone voltage 30 V, capillary voltage 3.5 kV, extractor 2 V, radio frequency (RF) lens 0.2 V, source temperature 120 °C and desolvation temperature 350 °C, cone gas flow 63 l h<sup>-1</sup>, desolvation gas flow 553 l h<sup>-1</sup>, collision energy 30 eV.

For the structural identification in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode, the molecular ion  $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$  ( $m/z=325$ ) was fragmented within the MS to its daughter-ions ( $325>310$  and  $325>281$ ) collision energy 30 eV, dwell 0.2 sec. Argon at pressure 3.5 bar was used as a collision gas. A calibration curve constructed using external standardization in matrix. The daughter-ion ( $m/z=281$ ) was used for the quantification of STC. The ratio between peaks of STC obtained on two MRM channels (Peak area ( $325>310$ ) / Peak area ( $325>281$ )) was used for confirmation of analyte. This ratio should be  $0.69\pm 0.14$  for the compound to be confirmed.

### *Spiking for recovery studies*

Spiked samples of different grains were prepared by adding 25  $\mu\text{l}$  and 125  $\mu\text{l}$  of the  $5 \mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$

STC standard solution using a digital pipette to 25 g of sample in an 250 ml flask, which was left for 1 h at ambient temperature with occasional agitation to allow the acetonitrile to evaporate. These volumes of standard were equivalent to levels of 5  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  and 25  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  STC respectively. Six replicates at each concentration level were prepared from each commodity for recovery experiments. Recovery results for bread are shown in Table 1.

Table 1

### Recovery and precision results obtained from bread matrix

| Spike level, $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ | Mean result (n=6), $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ | Standard deviation (SD), $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ | Relative Standard deviation (RSD), % | Mean recovery, % |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| 5.0                                | 4.8                                      | 0.2  | 4.6                                  | 96.0             |
| 25.0                               | 25.8                                     | 1.6  | 6.2                                  | 103.1            |

#### Calibration and Linearity

In LC-MS methods the matrix often causes the change of the response, because the matrix components disturb the ionization of the analytes (Tang *et al.*, 1993). Because of the matrix effect, the calibrants were always prepared in blank matrix.

The method was linear for STC from 0.5  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  to 25  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ . A tolerance of  $\pm 10\%$  accepted for the separate calibration points for good linearity. The regression line without matrix was  $y=13656x+212$  ( $R^2>0.999$ ) and regression line in matrix was  $y=4477x-176$  ( $R^2>0.999$ ). On this basis, the method considered linear for the analysis of STC.

#### Results and Discussion

From the analysed samples only one (16.7%) rye bread sample, one (11.1%) rye-wheat bread sample and three (21.4%) wheat bread samples were contaminated with STC. Four (80%) of five contaminated samples were prepared using whole grains.

Totally, 17.2% (5 samples) of the analyzed samples were contaminated with STC (Table 2).

Table 2

### STC presence in different bread samples

| Sample No. | Bread sample name                  | Sample type | Bakery    | Result, $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ |
|------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 1          | “Hanzas” rye bread                 | R           | Hanzas    | n.d.                          |
| 2          | Real brown bread                   | R           | Lāči      | n.d.                          |
| 3          | “Saules” dark whole grain bread    | R           | Iļguciema | n.d.                          |
| 4          | “Rīgas” brown bread                | R           | Iļguciema | n.d.                          |
| 5          | “Fazer” whole grain rye bread      | R           | Druva     | n.d.                          |
| 6          | Rye bread                          | R           | Sono      | <b>2.4</b>                    |
| 7          | “Hanzas” wheat-rye bread           | RW          | Hanzas    | n.d.                          |
| 8          | Real fine rye-bread                | RW          | Lāči      | n.d.                          |
| 9          | “Fit Life” Bread                   | RW          | Lāči      | n.d.                          |
| 10         | Fine rye-bread                     | RW          | Iļguciema | n.d.                          |
| 11         | “Meistara” Rye bread               | RW          | Druva     | n.d.                          |
| 12         | “Meistara” lime-pit fine rye-bread | RW          | Druva     | n.d.                          |
| 13         | Dinaburga                          | RW          | Dinella   | n.d.                          |
| 14         | “Saimnieks” whole grain bread      | RW          | Sono      | <b>7.1</b>                    |
| 15         | Fine rye-bread                     | RW          | Sono      | n.d.                          |
| 16         | Fitness                            | W           | Hanzas    | n.d.                          |

| Sample No. | Bread sample name                                | Sample type | Bakery    | Result, $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ |
|------------|--|-------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| 17         | “Hanzas” wheat bread                             | W           | Hanzas    | n.d.                          |
| 18         | “Sendviču” rain bread with barley and rye flakes | W           | Hanzas    | n.d.                          |
| 19         | “Sendviču” grain bread with rye flakes           | W           | Hanzas    | 4.4                           |
| 20         | “Sendviču” grain bread with oat flakes           | W           | Hanzas    | n.d.                          |
| 21         | Real wheat bread                                 | W           | Lāči      | n.d.                          |
| 22         | “Saules” grain bread                             | W           | Iļguciema | 3.2                           |
| 23         | City's wheat bread                               | W           | Iļguciema | n.d.                          |
| 24         | “Fazer” King's grain tosterbread                 | W           | Druva     | 5.6                           |
| 25         | “Fazer” wheat tosterbread                        | W           | Druva     | n.d.                          |
| 26         | “Spēkotava” bran bread                           | W           | Druva     | n.d.                          |
| 27         | “Zeltene” Wheat bread                            | W           | Dinella   | n.d.                          |
| 28         | “Autumn” wheat bread                             | W           | Dinella   | n.d.                          |
| 29         | “Kurzemes” wheat bread                           | W           | Sono      | n.d.                          |

R – rye bread; RW – rye-wheat bread; W – wheat bread; n.d. – not detected

However the concentration levels were quite low from 2.4 to 7.1  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  and did not exceed maximum levels for this toxin set in Czech Republic and Slovakia (20  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ ) but exceed level set in these republics for rice, vegetables, potatoes, flour, poultry and meat – 5  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ . So, results of our research give possibility to suppose that levels of STC in grains from which these bread samples were prepared, were highly contaminated with STC. So, in case that STC is carcinogen it is not recommended to use contaminated products, because of possible chronic effects. Nevertheless, as mentioned above (in introduction section) “no significant risk” intake level for humans is about 8  $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$  body weight/day for a 70 kg adult – it is approximately 480  $\mu\text{g}$  per day! In this case, concentrations that were found in bread during this investigation cannot seriously affect consumer's health.

There are no available comparable results in literature for so wide range of bread samples.

### Conclusions

This is the first research on STC content in different types of bread in Latvia. This study indicates about the occurrence of STC in bread, especially in whole grain bread. Although found contamination of bread is quite low, bread still can be a possible source of investigated toxin.

So, in the aspect of all mentioned above, monitoring of the presence of STC in bread and other food products in Latvia is clearly necessary.

### Acknowledgements

The study was financially supported by the ESF, National programme Name: Support in doctoral and postdoctoral programme realization and investigation.

Project name: Support in doctoral and postdoctoral programme investigation in engineering, agriculture engineering and forestry science fields.

Contract number: VPD1/ESF/PIAA/04/NP/3.2.3.1./0001/0005/0067

### References

1. AbdAlla, E., Metwally, M., Mehriz, A., AbuSree, Y. (1996) Sterigmatocystin: Incidence, fate and production by *Aspergillus versicolor* in Ras cheese. *Nahrung*, 40, pp. 310–313.
2. Atalla, M., Hassanein, N., El-Beih, A., Youssef, Y. (2003) Mycotoxin production in wheat grains by different *Aspergilli* in relation to different relative humidities and storage periods. *Nahrung*, 47, pp. 6–10.
3. Betina, V. (1989) Mycotoxins: Chemical, Biological, and Environmental Aspects. In: *Bioactive Molecules*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 438.

4. Buckle, A. (1983) The occurrence of mycotoxins in cereals and animal feedstuffs. *Vet. Res. Commun.* 7, pp. 171–176.
5. Domagala, J., Bluthgen, A., Heeschen, W. (1997) Methods of determination of aflatoxins precursors in dairy cows' feed .1. Determination of sterigmatocystin level in mixed feed and corn silage. *Milk Sc. Int.*, 52, pp. 452–455.
6. ElKady, I., ElMaraghy, S., Mostafa, M. (1995) Natural occurrence of mycotoxins in different spices in Egypt. *Folia Microbiol.*, 40, pp. 297–300.
7. Engelhart, S., Looock, A., Skutlarek, D., Sagunski, H., Lommel, A., Farber, H., Exner, M. (2002) Occurrence of toxigenic *Aspergillus versicolor* isolates and sterigmatocystin in carpet dust from damp indoor environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 68, pp. 3886–3890.
8. Francis, O., Ware, G., Carman, A., Kirschenheuter, G., Kuan, S., Newell, R. (1987) Thin-Layer Chromatographic Determination of Sterigmatocystin in Cheese – Interlaboratory Study. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.*, 70, pp. 842–844.
9. Hurst, W., Snyder, K., Martin, R. (1987) High-Performance Liquid-Chromatographic Determination of the Mycotoxins Patulin, Penicillic Acid, Zearalenone and Sterigmatocystin in Artificially Contaminated Cocoa Beans. *J. Chromatogr.*, 392, pp. 389–396.
10. Lund, F., Filtenborg, O., Frisvad, J. (1995) Associated Mycoflora of Cheese. *Food Microbiol.*, 12, pp. 173–180.
11. Mills, J., Abramson, D. (1986) Production of Sterigmatocystin by Isolates of *Aspergillus Versicolor* from Western Canadian Stored Barley and Rapeseed Canola. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.*, 8, pp. 151–156.
12. Nielsen, K., Gravesen, S., Nielsen, P., Andersen, B., Thrane, U., Frisvad, J. (1999) Production of mycotoxins on artificially and naturally infested building materials. *Mycopathologia*, 145, pp. 43–56.
13. Purchase, I., van der Watt, J. (1970) Carcinogenicity of sterigmatocystin. *Food Cosmetol. Toxicol.*, 8, pp. 289–295.
14. Purchase, I., Pretorius, M. (1973) Sterigmatocystin in Coffee Beans. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.*, 56, pp. 225–226.
15. Rao, G., George, V. (2000) Production of sterigmatocystin on rice grains by *Aspergillus versicolor*. *Ind. J. Anim. Sc.*, 70, pp. 759–764.
16. Scott, P. (1972) Mycotoxins (Ochratoxin A, Citrinin, and Sterigmatocystin) and Toxigenic Fungi in Grains and Other Agricultural Products. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 20, pp. 1103–1109.
17. Scudamore, K., Hetmanski, M., Chan, H., Collins, S. (1997) Occurrence of mycotoxins in raw ingredients used for animal feeding stuffs in the United Kingdom in 1992. *Food Addit. Contam.*, 14, pp. 157–173.
18. Shannon, G., Shotwell, O. (1976) Thin-Layer Chromatographic Determination of Sterigmatocystin in Cereal Grains and Soybeans. *J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem.*, 59, pp. 963–965.
19. Sommer, N., Buchanan, J., Fortlage, R. (1976) Aflatoxin and Sterigmatocystin Contamination of Pistachio Nuts in Orchards. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 32, pp. 64–67.
20. Stroka, J., Dasko, L., Spangenberg, B. and Anklam, E. (2004) Determination of the Mycotoxin, Sterigmatocystin, by thin-layer chromatography and reagent-free Derivatisation. *J. liq. Chromatogr. Rel. Technol.*, 27, pp. 2101–2111.
21. Sweeney, M., Dobson, A. (1999) Mini review. Molecular biology of mycotoxin biosynthesis. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 175, pp. 149–163.
22. Tang, L., Kebarle, P. (1993). Dependence of ion intensity in electrospray mass spectrometry on the concentration of the analytes in the electrosprayed solution. *Anal. Chem.*, 65, 3654–3668.
23. Thurm, V., Paul, P., Koch, C. (1979) Hygienic Significance of Sterigmatocystin in Vegetable Foods.1. Analytic Detection of Sterigmatocystin. *Nahrung*, 23, pp. 111–115.
24. Thurm, V., Paul, P., Koch, C. (1979) Hygienic Significance of Sterigmatocystin in Vegetables 3. Occurrence of Sterigmatocystin. *Nahrung*, 23, pp. 121–123.
25. Tong-xi, X., Junichi, M., Kazuo, A., Wen-Yuan, Z., Shu-Ying, L. (2000) Absence of p53-mediated G<sub>1</sub> arrest with induction of MDM2 in sterigmatocystin-treated cells. *Int. J. Oncol.*, 17, pp. 737–742.
26. Veršilovskis, A., Bartkevičs, V., Miķelsone, V. (2007) Analytical method for the determination of sterigmatocystin in grains using High Performance Liquid Chromatography – Tandem Massspectrometry with Electrospray Positive Ionization. *J. Chromatogr. A*, 1157, pp. 467–471.
27. International Agency for Research on Cancer / Summaries and Evaluations / Sterigmatocystin. 1976. Source: <http://www.inchem.org/documents/iarc/vol10/sterigmatocystin.html>; resource used on 21.12.2007.
28. European Mycotoxin Awareness Network / Mycotoxin Basic Fact Sheets / Factsheet 12 / Sterigmatocystin. 2007. Source: <http://services.leatherheadfood.com/mycotoxins/item.asp?number=12&fsid=17&mytype=Basic>; resource used on 20.12.2007.