Introduction

Drainage (melioration) has been the most important factor, increasing forest productivity in Latvia over the last half a century. Notable share (32%, based on National Forest Inventory data) of our forests currently are located on drained soils. Additionally, increased growth (current annual increment) had attributed to National Forest Inventory data) of our forests currently factor, increasing forest productivity in Latvia over time.

Drainage system reconstruction reversed the trend of declining radial increment for both Scots pine and Norway spruce; however, the influence of this measure over an 8 year period was statistically significant, notable (55%) and positive only for Norway spruce, growing closest to the edge of the ditch. Other growth limiting factors need to be considered and tackled to ensure the highest effect of the investment in drainage system reconstruction, including choice of the tree species, stand density, age, availability of nutrients.
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Abstract

Drainage ensures flow of water and access of oxygen to the roots of the trees. Therefore, melioration systems have been established in a third of the forest area of Latvia, and for the most part highly productive stands can be observed in these areas. Water flow in these systems is often stopped by beaver dams. The aim of our case study was to assess the impact of ditch reconstruction on the increment of the coniferous trees. Increment cores were collected from 169 trees at a distance up to 45 m from the ditch in the drainage system that was reconstructed 8 years prior.

Drainage system reconstruction reversed the trend of declining radial increment for both Scots pine and Norway spruce; however, the influence of this measure over an 8 year period was statistically significant, notable (55%) and positive only for Norway spruce, growing closest to the edge of the ditch. Other growth limiting factors need to be considered and tackled to ensure the highest effect of the investment in drainage system reconstruction, including choice of the tree species, stand density, age, availability of nutrients.

Materials and Methods

Data were collected in the central part of Latvia, in the mild (maritime) climatic conditions – in this region
30-years mean air temperature in July and January was +18 and -6 °C, respectively. Frost-free period was 140 days on average; annual sum of precipitation varies between 700 and 800 mm. Relief is generally flat, low elevation above the sea level, thus the maintenance of drainage system is important to ensure water flow.

In the forest type with fertile drained peat soil \textit{(Myrtillosa turf. mel.)}, sample plots were placed systematically, parallel to the ditch, in different distances from it (Figure 1). In this forest type, peat consists primarily from the elements of trees and vascular plants.

Mixed stand, including Scots pine and Norway spruce in similar proportions (in M1 and M2) and admixture of birch (in M3) were selected. Drainage system was established in 1981 and cleaned, mainly from the beaver dams, blocking the water flow (reconstructed) in 2010.

All trees were measured (height and diameter at breast height – DBH). Increment cores were collected from the breast height from altogether 169 trees, randomly selected from different diameter classes. Cores were sanded and increments measured with LINTAB.

Results and Discussion

Mean height and DBH was similar for both coniferous tree species with slightly higher values of Scots pine. Height ranged from 20.1±0.7 m (here and further in text: ±95% confidence interval) to 21.4±0.6 m for spruce and from 20.6±0.6 m to 23.6±0.6 m for pine; respective figures for DBH were from 19.5±1.6 cm to 22.9±3.9 cm and from 23.0±2.3 cm to 24.4±1.9 cm. The mean breast height age for both coniferous tree species was 56 years.

Notable reaction to the reconstruction of drainage system – thus the availability of the oxygen to the root system of trees – can be observed for Scots pine and Norway spruce (Figures 2, 3). Even so, for Scots pine the reaction was pronounced only in the area close to the ditch (M1) and not long-lasting; after a few years the on-going downward trend of the annual ring-width
continued. Presumably, it might be the result of rather high stand density – basal area reaching 37–41 m² ha⁻¹ in M2 and M3, and 28 m² ha⁻¹ in M1. Stand density is a significant factor, affecting the increment of trees for a long period of time: initially sparse stands have notably larger DBH than dense even at the age of c.a. 50 years (Katrevičs et al., 2018). It is at least partly related to the development of the green crown – thus the capacity of production of organic matter. Pruning experiments had clearly demonstrated that the removal of lower branches from the green crown have no negative impact on the radial increment (Baders et al., 2017); however, the length of the green crown has.

In such a high density the radial increment of trees, especially of light demanding ones (pine), was negatively affected. It could explain, why for this tree species the mean ring width in an 8 – year interval prior to drainage system reconstruction (year 2003–2010) was not significantly different than in an 8 – year interval (years 2011–2018) after it.

Norway spruce also demonstrated rather sharp rise of the increment after drainage system reconstruction, followed by a few years of its drop. However, the comparison of mean annual ring width over a longer period of time before and after the drainage system reconstruction clearly demonstrates that closer to the ditch statistically significant, notable (by 55%) increase occurred (Figure 4). It might be both related to the shade tolerance (also higher density stand trees react to the improved conditions) as well as to higher sensitivity to excess water for Norway spruce. Such sensitivity had been demonstrated both for radial (Jansons et al., 2016b) and height increment for trees at different age, including on formation of lammas growth at the end of the vegetation season (Katrevičs et al., 2018).
The observed relative weak influence from the investment in the drainage system reconstruction might be also related to the age related trend (Jansons et al., 2016b). Also, lack of mineral elements to some extent might be contributing – it has been shown, that even a single initial fertilization has long (up to 15 years) lasting positive influence on the radial increment of Norway spruce (Jansons et al., 2016a). Relative stand density reached 0.8 in the M1 and 1.1 in the M2 and M3. Even though the tree diameter was not significantly affected before the drainage system reconstruction (mean diameter was not significantly different), the trees might be able to react to improved conditions. In the high density stands trees, especially of the light demanding species, they tend to lose the green crown rapidly. Even after the conditions improvement (after the thinning or natural disturbance e.g. windstorm), there is not sufficient amount of foliage for rapid response and growth of stem. Besides, trees in such conditions after release might be unstable (Donis et al., 2018), thus allocating more of the resource to root growth than to above-ground growth. Tree reaction to the drainage system reconstruction might be limited also due to un-visible under-ground water flaw also in seemingly over-grown ditches. However, such flow would be stopped by the beaver dams.

Conclusions
1. Drainage system reconstruction reversed the trend of declining radial increment (presumably related to tree age and stand density) for both Scots pine and Norway spruce.
2. Influence of this measure over a longer period of time (8 years) was statistically significant and positive for Norway spruce, growing close to the edge of the ditch.
3. To ensure the highest effect of the investment in drainage system reconstruction, it needs to be combined with other forest management measures, aimed at different growth-limiting factors, e.g. thinning.
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