

## BAKING QUALITY AND PROTEIN COMPOSITION OF EMMER WHEAT LANDRACES

**Petr Konvalina, Jan Moudrý, Jan Moudrý jr.**

University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice, Faculty of Agriculture

E-mail: konvalina@zf.jcu.cz

### Abstract

Emmer wheat, *Triticum dicoccum* SCHRANK (SCHUEBL), is an old species of cereal which has been traditionally grown in aride areas. The renewed interest in this variety has its origin in favourable quality parametres of emmer wheat grain and a beneficial effect on human organism. This article deals with a study of quality parametres and storage protein composition of 6 varieties of emmer wheat (which have been chosen from the collection of gene bank at the Research institute of Crop Production in Prague-Ruzyně and in České Budějovice). High crude protein content in grain was proved during the trials. Nevertheless, such a characteristic is not suitable for the classical bakery processing (production of leavened products). The analysed genotypes contain more than 10% of high molecular weight glutenins (HMW glutenins), approximately 70% of low molecular weight glutenins + gliadins (LMW glutenins + gliadins), and almost 20% of residual albumins and globulins. Thanks to high share of albumins and globulins, emmer wheat grain is very valuable raw material for the production of healthy diet. The level of stability of storage proteins composition destine the suitability of emmer wheat for organic and low input farming in Less Favoured Areas.

**Key words:** emmer wheat, quality, storage protein composition.

### Introduction

Emmer wheat (*Triticum dicoccum* SCHRANK (SCHUEBL), tetraploid species  $2n=28$ , AABB genom), which is divided into 99 botanic varieties (Michalová *et al.*, 2002), belongs to glumeous varieties of wheat that have a long tradition of growing and use as human diet (Marconi and Cubadda, 2005). Considering increasing requirements for richness, diversity and good-quality of foodstuff products, the interest in this species of wheat has been still increasing (Hammer and Perinno, 1995; Olsen, 1998; Nielsen and Mortensen, 1998). Such a renewed interest in emmer wheat varieties has its origin in countries with well-developed intensive agriculture. On the other hand, the surface of areas of emmer wheat has been decreasing in countries with less developed farming sector (Marconi and Cubadda, 2005).

Wheat storage (gluten) proteins create up to 80% from a total amount of wheat grain (Shewry *et al.*, 2000). Technologically, glutenins and gliadins are the most important wheat storage proteins. Their proportion and amount in wheat grain is variable and changes with the total protein content,

growing conditions, genetic disposition of variety, and process of grain maturing (Branlard *et al.*, 2000; Shewry *et al.*, 2000). The gluten quality for the specific final utilization is determined especially by the optimal storage proteins combination. Each of them affects rheology in a unique way dough viscosity and tensibility are affected by gliadins, elasticity – by glutenins (Bushuk and Bekes, 2002).

Gliadins create heterogeneous mixture of onechain polypeptides; they are proteins with the lowest nutritional value in the way of amino-acid composition. Glutenins are divided into two groups: the HMW and LMW (High and Low Molecular Weight) (Thompson *et al.*, 1994; Shewry *et al.*, 2000). Most studies of bread-making wheat focus on HMW glutenins, performing as key factors in the bread-making process, and they are easily identifiable by the electrophoresis (Krejčířová *et al.*, 2007). Albumins and globulins are usually ranged to the so-called protoplasmatic proteins. As to the amino-acid composition, these proteins have the highest nutritional quality. Generally, albumins and globulins are not considered as proteins critical for the flour quality, even though a small significance was noticed (Schofield and Booth, 1983). According

to some authors, they decrease the quality of gluten (Paine *et al.*, 1987; Bushuk, 1989).

Several authors state the favourable quality indicators for the human diet. They mention the high crude protein content in the first place; nevertheless, it is not suitable for the bakery processing. The literature may contain the results of the quality analysis contrary to each other. Therefore, this study is focused on the quality parameters of the varieties of emmer wheat which are part of the collection of the gene bank at Research institute in Prague-Ruzyně (RI). The second part evaluates protein composition and determines the nutrition value of emmer wheat grain.

### Materials and methods

On the basis of the previous screening, 6 genotypes of emmer (Table 1), 4 landraces (Kundan, Jara, Praga, Rosamova česká přesívka), and 2 modern (M6 - Vanek, M10 - SW Kadrij) controlling cultivars of bread wheat were chosen. In the experimental year 2007, small plot trials with two replications were performed in two locations (Research institute in Prague-Ruzyně = RI, and University of South Bohemia in České Budějovice = USB). The characteristics of the trial stations: RI - altitude of 364 m; mean air temperature of 7.9 °C; total precipitation of 525.9 mm; sunshine duration of 1668.3 hours, pH (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) of 7.3; P 78 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>; K 210 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>; Mg 148 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>; and Ca 4360 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>. USB

- altitude of 388 m; mean air temperature of 8.2 °C; total precipitation of 620 mm; sunshine duration of 1564.3 hours; pH (CaCl<sub>2</sub>) of 6.3; P 138 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>; K 155 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>; Mg 163 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>; and Ca - 1557 mg.kg<sup>-1</sup>. The climatic and soil conditions were favorable for emmer growing at both locations. The experiments were carried out in low input growing system (practically not certified organic farming system), without mineral fertilizers and pesticides at both locations. For seeding we used trial seed machine and for harvesting the Wintersteiger machine. The trials were weeded after tillering.

The quality analyses were carried out in the laboratories of the Czech Agricultural University in Prague. The following methods were used to carry out the laboratory analysis: crude protein content - (Kjeldahl - ICC No. 105); wet gluten content and gluten index ČSN ISO 5531, Glutomatic 2200, Zeleny sedimentation - value - ICC No. 116/1, enzyme (α-amylase) activity - Falling number ICC No. 107; and starch content (according Ewers, ČSN ISO 56 0512-16, Polamat A).

For the classification of wheat grain storage protein composition, the polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS-PAGE) was used, according to the method of Wrigley (1992). Percentage of individual protein subunits HMW, LMW glutenins and gliadins, and residual albumins and globulins was calculated on the basis of densitometric evaluation of electrophoresis using the Bio 1D software from Vilber-Lourmat firm.

Table 1

List of varieties

| Code of variety | ECN <sup>1</sup> | BCHAR <sup>2</sup> | Name           | SP <sup>3</sup> | <i>Triticum dicoccum</i> (SCHRANK) SCHUEBL: |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|---|
| D1              | 01C0200117       | 412064             | Horny Tisovnik | CZ              | var. <i>rufum</i> SCHUEBL                   |
| D2              | 01C0200947       | 412048             | Ruzyne         | -               | var. <i>rufum</i> SCHUEBL                   |
| D3              | 01C0201262       | 412051             | Tapioszele 1   | -               | var. <i>serbicum</i> A. SCHULZ              |
| D4              | 01C0201282       | 412017             | Tapioszele 2   | -               | var. <i>rufum</i> SCHUEBL.                  |
| D7              | 01C0203989       | 412013             | Kahler Emmer   | D               | var. <i>dicoccum</i>                        |
| D10             | 01C0204501       | 412013             | No. 8909       | -               | var. <i>dicoccum</i>                        |

Note: <sup>1</sup> ECN = identifier ; <sup>2</sup> BCHAR = taxonomical code ; <sup>3</sup> SP = origin

## Results and discussion

The obtained results document crude protein content, wet gluten content, gluten index, sedimentation value, starch content, starch content and grain storage proteins composition of emmer landraces in comparison with landraces and modern cultivars of bread wheat.

Evaluated varieties provide higher crude protein content than controlling ones. At location RI is difference 3.5% and CB 2.6% on behalf emmer (Table 2). The higher protein content provide D4 (Tapioszele 2) – 20.1%. According to standard ČSN 46 1100-2 is possible to include all emmer varieties in quality group elite. Coefficient of variation (CV) is 8.9% (RI) and 12.4% (USB). The higher protein content of emmer with comparison of soft wheat grown in the same conditions acknowledges e.g. (Marconi *et al.*, 2005; Michalová *et al.*, 2002).

At the location RI emmer provide the higher wet gluten content than controlling varieties about 9%, at USB was the same. CV attained 20%, relatively stable at both location. Crude protein content, quality and content of gluten provide negative relation. Varieties with high gluten content but

its low quality aren't usefull for making proofing dough from bakery point of view (Zimolka *et al.*, 2006).

Gluten index have positive corelation with quality of gluten. High value of gluten index showed strong gluten which is very difficult working. Low value is characterised by weak gluten which isn't suitable for bakery processing. In case of RI location provide 30 (CV 85.1%) at CB it is 36 (CV 37.9) (Table 2). This trait is very unstable. Gluten index provide double value in case of controlling varieties. Gluten of emmer is weak and isn't good for bakery processing.

Sedimentation value determine viscoelastic character of gluten albumines and their quality which provide fermentative processes in dough (proofing) for. With crude protein content and bread volume correlate in positively way. The value are low at both locations. Varieties aren't able to surmount value (ČSN 46 1100-2) as minimum for submission into quality category B (bread). Controlling varieties provide high value (RI – 63 ml, USB – 54 ml) (Table 3). According to (Stehno, 2001) are sedimentation value at half with comparison of modern varieties.

Table 2

Quality parametres I

| Variety     | Crude protein content (%) |             |      | Wet gluten content (%) |             |      | Gluten index |             |      |
|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|------|------------------------|-------------|------|--------------|-------------|------|
|             | location                  |             | mean | location               |             | mean | location     |             | mean |
|             | RI                        | CB          |      | RI                     | CB          |      | RI           | CB          |      |
| D1          | 18.0                      | 15.6        | 16.8 | 44.5                   | 30.0        | 37.3 | 4            | 43          | 24   |
| D2          | 20.4                      | 18.6        | 19.5 | 55.8                   | 42.9        | 49.4 | 51           | 43          | 47   |
| D3          | 15.9                      | 14.4        | 15.2 | 34.9                   | 33.0        | 34.0 | 5            | 15          | 10   |
| D4          | 20.2                      | 20.0        | 20.1 | 53.4                   | 45.2        | 49.3 | 11           | 17          | 14   |
| D7          | 19.6                      | 19.2        | 19.4 | 40.2                   | 49.7        | 45.0 | 55           | 24          | 40   |
| D10         | 19.0                      | 18.3        | 18.7 | 57.2                   | 44.5        | 50.9 | 53           | 43          | 48   |
| <b>mean</b> | <b>18.9</b>               | <b>17.7</b> | -    | <b>47.7</b>            | <b>40.9</b> | -    | <b>30</b>    | <b>36</b>   | -    |
| <b>SD</b>   | <b>1.7</b>                | <b>2.2</b>  | -    | 9.2                    | 7.7         | -    | 25.5         | 13.7        | -    |
| <b>CV</b>   | <b>8.9</b>                | <b>12.4</b> | -    | <b>19.2</b>            | <b>18.8</b> | -    | <b>85.1</b>  | <b>37.9</b> | -    |
| M6          | 1.8                       | 14.8        | 15.3 | 38.2                   | 41.1        | 39.7 | 83           | 90          | 87   |
| M10         | 15.0                      | 15.3        | 15.2 | 39.2                   | 41.8        | 40.5 | 79           | 55          | 67   |

Starch content is the same in case of all varieties and is very fixed. The lower starch content provide varieties D2 (Ruzyně) a D4 (Tapioszele2) at both location. The higher content provide variety D3 (Tapioszele 1) (65.9% RI and 64.8% CB) at both locations. (Galterio *et al.*, 1994) features the lower value of starch content (52.7-56.8%).

Falling number detect damage of storage matter of grain wheat endosperm by hydrolytic enzymes, which are syntetised in consequence of start germination before harvest in grain. For insertion into quality group elita is minimum value 240 s according to ČSN 46 1100-2. This value didn't get only one variety D3 (Tapioszele 1) at RI location

(Table 3). At the same location is higher level of CV 20%. This fact could be explained by later harvest some of varieties (came after rainy time), it is conformed by (Zimolka *et al.*, 2006). This author note

that falling number is very sensitive to rainy time during harvest. At CB location there was harvested in time and varieties provide the high value with low variability.

Table 3

## Quality parametres II

| Variety     | Zeleny test (ml) |            |      | Starch content (%) |             |      | Falling number (s) |            |      |
|-------------|------------------|------------|------|--------------------|-------------|------|--------------------|------------|------|
|             | location         |            | mean | location           |             | mean | location           |            | mean |
|             | RI               | CB         |      | RI                 | CB          |      | RI                 | CB         |      |
| D1          | 10               | 15         | 13   | 62.7               | 64.6        | 63.7 | 399                | 380        | 390  |
| D2          | 12               | 17         | 15   | 59.1               | 61.8        | 60.5 | 367                | 369        | 368  |
| D3          | 12               | 14         | 13   | 65.9               | 64.8        | 65.4 | 221                | 387        | 304  |
| D4          | 14               | 17         | 16   | 58.6               | 60.3        | 59.5 | 363                | 352        | 358  |
| D7          | 10               | 16         | 13   | 60.5               | 61.4        | 61.0 | 426                | 359        | 393  |
| D10         | 14               | 15         | 15   | 60.4               | 62.4        | 61.4 | 355                | 403        | 379  |
| <b>mean</b> | <b>12</b>        | <b>16</b>  | -    | <b>61.2</b>        | <b>62.6</b> | -    | <b>355</b>         | <b>375</b> | -    |
| <b>SD</b>   | 1.8              | 1.2        | -    | 2.7                | 1.8         | -    | 70.9               | 18.8       | -    |
| <b>CV</b>   | <b>14.9</b>      | <b>7.6</b> | -    | <b>4.4</b>         | <b>2.9</b>  | -    | <b>20.0</b>        | <b>5.0</b> | -    |
| M6          | 59               | 50         | 55   | 62.7               | 61.7        | 62.2 | 339                | 269        | 304  |
| M10         | 66               | 57         | 62   | 62.7               | 60.4        | 61.6 | 329                | 289        | 309  |
| <b>mean</b> | <b>63</b>        | <b>54</b>  | -    | <b>62.7</b>        | <b>61.1</b> | -    | <b>334</b>         | <b>279</b> | -    |

In accordance with the results stated in Table 4, storage proteins composition of emmer wheat does not differ too much from storage proteins composition of bread wheat in low input farming system. Therefore, the statement of Marconi and Cubadda (2005) may not be confirmed. They state that emmer wheat grain is not so toxic for people suffering from digestive allergies as bread wheat grain (because of storage proteins composition). On the other hand, a comparison of the proportion of valuable albumine and globuline fractions could be very interesting aspect. The fractions of emmer wheat genotypes, grown on more fertile station (RI), achieve lower level (16.9%) than the fractions of bread wheat ones (17.5%). The proportion of these fractions in emmer wheat grain is higher (17.2%) in case of less fertile station (USB).

When comparing the stability of storage proteins composition from the point of view of the coefficient variability, we may generalize the results of the studies for the analysed groups of the varieties. HMW glutenins are the most fluctuating group CV = 7.3 – 36.2, the percentage of LMW albumins and globulins is more stable feature CV = 0.2 – 4.6. The percentage of HMW glutenins is very versatile factor in case of emmer wheat and bread wheat too; it is supposed to be influenced by land and climatic conditions of the station (Krejčířová *et al.*, 2006, 2007). The diversity of albumins and globulins in emmer wheat genotypes is also very interesting characteristics CV = 15.6% - RI and 21% - USB; the proportion of these two types of elements is fixed in bread wheat genotypes CV = 3.1% - RI and 2.8% - USB.

Table 4

## Storage proteins composition

| Genotype                   | Parametre       | Location         |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                            |                 | RI               |                  |                  | USB              |                  |                  |
|                            |                 | HMW <sup>1</sup> | LMW <sup>2</sup> | A+G <sup>3</sup> | HMW <sup>1</sup> | LMW <sup>2</sup> | A+G <sup>3</sup> |
| Emmer                      | <b>Mean (%)</b> | <b>10.2</b>      | <b>72.9</b>      | <b>16.9</b>      | <b>13.6</b>      | <b>69.2</b>      | <b>17.2</b>      |
|                            | SD              | 2.2              | 1.5              | 2.6              | 2.0              | 2.6              | 3.6              |
|                            | <b>CV (%)</b>   | <b>21.5</b>      | <b>2.0</b>       | <b>15.6</b>      | <b>14.5</b>      | <b>3.7</b>       | <b>21.0</b>      |
| Bread wheat<br>(landraces) | Mean (%)        | <b>9.6</b>       | <b>72.4</b>      | <b>18.0</b>      | <b>14.3</b>      | <b>70.6</b>      | <b>15.1</b>      |
|                            | SD              | 3.45             | 3.3              | 1.3              | 1.0              | 1.4              | 1.6              |
|                            | <b>CV (%)</b>   | <b>36.2</b>      | <b>4.6</b>       | <b>7.0</b>       | <b>7.3</b>       | <b>2.0</b>       | <b>10.3</b>      |

| Genotype                          | Parametre | Location         |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                   |           | RI               |                  |                  | USB              |                  |                  |
|                                   |           | HMW <sup>1</sup> | LMW <sup>2</sup> | A+G <sup>3</sup> | HMW <sup>1</sup> | LMW <sup>2</sup> | A+G <sup>3</sup> |
| Bread wheat<br>(modern varieties) | Mean (%)  | <b>10.1</b>      | <b>72.5</b>      | <b>17.5</b>      | <b>11.6</b>      | <b>71.6</b>      | <b>16.8</b>      |
|                                   | SD        | 1.4              | 0.9              | 0.5              | 0.6              | 0.2              | 0.5              |
|                                   | CV(%)     | <b>14</b>        | <b>1.2</b>       | <b>3.1</b>       | <b>18.4</b>      | <b>0.2</b>       | <b>2.8</b>       |

Notes: <sup>1</sup>HMW glutenins; <sup>2</sup>LMW glutenins + gliadins; <sup>3</sup>albumins + globulins

According to Table 5, the effect of the species (emmer wheat, bread wheat) on the composition of storage proteins has not been confirmed by statistics. On the contrary, the effect of the station (intensity of the farming) has been registered in both cases (HMW glutenins and LMW glutenins and gliadins). Krejčířová *et al.* (2006, 2007) confirms

these statements in her paper works; she points out the fact that the availability of nitrogen during the growing season is a limiting factor of the dislocation of the assimilated nutrients into each protein fraction. The proportion of albumins and globulins was not influenced by the station.

Table 5

#### ANOVA, values of the test criterion *F* for storage proteins composition

| Factor   | Quality parameter  |                          |                      |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
|          | HMW glutenins      | LMW glutenins + gliadins | Albumins + globulins |
| Genotype | 0.16 <sup>n</sup>  | 0.60 <sup>n</sup>        | 0.10 <sup>n</sup>    |
| Location | 15.80 <sup>*</sup> | 9.85 <sup>*</sup>        | 0.82 <sup>n</sup>    |

Notes: <sup>\*</sup>statistically significant  $\alpha = 0.01$ ; <sup>n</sup> – statistically insignificant

#### Conclusions

High proportion of crude protein in grain was affirmed by the quality analysis (in several cases, it exceeded 20% in small-parcel trials). Its quality (from the point of view of its use in bakery sector) seems to be quite problematic factor. It proves negative values of gluten index and Zeleny test. In case of emmer wheat, it is better not to use it in the bakery sector, but in the other sectors and branches. Bread made of emmer wheat is flat but it has perfect sensoric qualities and features.

Emmer wheat, grown in low-input farming system, does contain similar storage proteins to bread wheat; the fact that emmer wheat grain is suitable for people suffering from celiac disease cannot be approved. The evaluated genotypes contain more

than 10% of HMW glutenins, about 70 % of LMW glutenins and gliadins and almost 20% of albumins and globulins in grain. The high percentage of the last group mentioned above causes the valuability of emmer wheat as a raw material for the production of healthy diet. The stability of storage proteins composition predestines the suitability of emmer wheat for organic and low-input farming systems in Less Favoured Areas. Emmer is not able to compete with modern varieties from the point of view of the level of yield, nevertheless it provides very good-quality grains.

#### Acknowledgements

Supported by the project MSM 6007665806

#### References

1. Branlard G, Darved M, Saccomano R, Lagoute F, Gourdon J. (2000) Genetic diversity of wheat storage proteins and bread wheat quality. Wheat in Global Environment. In: Bedó Z, Láng, L (eds): Wheat in Global Environment. Proceeding of 6<sup>th</sup> International Wheat Conference, Kluwer academic publishers, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 157-170
2. Bushuk W. (1989) Wheat Proteins: Aspects Structure that Determine Breadmaking Quality. Protein Quality and the Effects of Processing. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, Basel. pp. 345-369

3. Bushuk W. Bekes F. (2002) Contribution of protein to flour quality. In: Proc. ICC Conf. Novel Row Materials, Technologies and Products - New Challenge for the Quality Control, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 14-19
4. Galterio G. Cappeltoni M. Desiderio E. Pogna N. E. (1994) Genetic, technological and nutritional characteristics of three Italian populations of farrum" (*Triticum turgidum* subsp. *dicoccum*). *J. Genet Breed*, 48, pp. 391-398
5. Hammer K. Perinno P. (1995) Plant genetic resources in South Italy and Sicily: studies towards in situ and on farm conservation. *Plant Genetic resources Newsletter*, 103, pp.19-23
6. Krejčířová, L., Capouchová, I., Petr, J., Bicanová, E., Kvapil, R. (2006) Protein composition and quality of winter wheat from organic and conventional farming, *Žemdirbystė*, 93, pp. 285-296
7. Krejčířová L., Capouchová I., Petr J. Faměra O. (2007) The effect of organic and conventional growing systems on quality and storage protein composition of winter wheat, *PLANT SOIL ENVIRON.*, 53, pp. 499-505
8. Marconi M., Cubadda R. (2005) Emmer wheat. In: Abdel-Aal E-S. M. and Wood, P. (Eds.): Speciality grains for food and feed. American Association of Cereal Chemists, Inc. St. Paul, Minesota, U.S.A., pp.63-108
9. Michalová A. Stehno Z. Hermuth J. Vala M. (2002) Opomíjené a alternativní druhy polních plodin a jejich využití pro zdravou výživu a podporu setrvalého rozvoje zemědělství (The alternative field crops and their using for food and development of sustainable agriculture). *Genetické zdroje č.87"*, VÚRV Praha , pp. 30-37 (In Czech)
10. Nielsen F. and Mortensen J. V. (1998) Ecological cultivation of Emmer and Giant Durum. *Gron Viden, Markburg*, 4, pp.194-196
11. Olsen C. C. (1998): Old cereal species – growing emmer and durum wheat without pesticides. *Gron Viden, Markburg*, 4, pp.196-199
12. Payne P. I. Nightingale M. A. Krattiger A. F. Holt L. M. (1987) The relationship between HMW glutenin subunit composition and the bread-making quality in British-grown wheat varieties, *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 40, pp. 51-65
13. Shewry P. R. Tatham A. S. Fido R. Jones H. Bercelo P. Lazzeri P. A. (2000) Improving the end use properties of wheat by manipulating the grain protein composition. In: Bedó Z. Láng, L (eds): *Wheat in Global Environment. Proceeding of 6<sup>th</sup> International Wheat Conference*, Kluwer academic publishers, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 53-58
14. Schofield J. D. Booth M. R. (1983) Wheat proteins and their technological significance, *Dev. Food Proteins*, 2, pp. 1-65
15. Stehno Z. (2001) Možnosti pěstování a využití pluchatých pšenic (Growing and using of hulled wheat). *Genetické zdroje č.87"*, VÚRV Praha, pp. 4-7 (In Czech)
16. Thompson S. Bishop D. H. L. Tatham A. S. Shewry P. R. (1994) Exploring disulphide bond formation in a low molecular weight subunit of glutenin using a baculovirus expression system. In: *Gluten proteins. Assoc. Cereal Res., Detmold*, pp. 345-355
17. Wrigley C. W. (1992) Identification of cereal varieties by gel electrophoresis of grain proteins. In: Linkens H. F. Jackson J. F. (eds.): *Seed Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, pp. 17-41
18. Zimolka J. Edler S. Hrivna L. Jáňský J. Kraus P. Mareček J. Novotný F. Richter R. Říha K. Tichý F. (2005) Pšenice – pěstování, hodnocení a užití zrna (Wheat – growing and using of grain). *Profi Press, Praha*, 220 p. (In Czech)