NAKED BARLEY INFLUENCE ON WHEAT BREAD QUALITY
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Abstract

Naked barley is a rich source of dietary fibre, non-starch polysaccharides, especially β-glucans and other functional ingredients. Therefore, there is a growing interest to include naked barley in products to increase their nutritional value. Barely is not popular for bakery products because its poor baking properties. High barley amount leads to decrease in bread loaf volume, storage time and consumer acceptability. Since it is known that using sourdough fermentation could be a possibility to obtain more acceptable products with higher nutritional value, producers interest to include barley flour in bread making increased. Therefore the aim of research was to evaluate nutritional characteristics, overall acceptability and storage possibility of bread enriched with naked barley grains or flour. Bread from 100% wheat flour type 812 was used as a control. There were prepared 7 samples of bread, where wheat bread was enriched with flour or grain of naked barley variety ‘Kornelija’ in the amount of 30 or 40% from total flour amount. Panellists were asked to evaluate intensity of bread sensory attributes – colour, aroma, porosity, hardness and sour taste – using 7-point unstructured line scale. Moisture and hardness of breads were evaluated after 24, 60 and 72 h of storage. Finally, bread samples enriched with barley grains had higher volume and porosity and lower hardness than samples enriched with barley flour. Samples enriched with barley flour prepared using sourdough method had sour taste and higher hardness. It was concluded, that all samples have maintained quality after 72 h of storage.
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Introduction

Increased interest in barley as a human food ingredient has been results from studies which have shown barley as an excellent source of dietary fibre, in particular, β-glucans (Arendt, Zannini, 2013; Baik, Ullrich, 2008). Including of barley grains in human nutrition is particularly valuable for diabetic patients and humans of risk group because its ability to lower glycemic index (Meija et al., 2019; Fulgoni et al., 2015; Jenkins et al., 2002). Barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) grain with high β-glucan content in the soluble dietary fibre fraction may be useful as a specialty crop for human food (Guler, 2003; Kalra, Jood, 2000).

Naked barley cultivars compared to hulled have increased nutritional value, especially content of protein, β-glucans and soluble dietary fibre and are free from the pales (Sterna et al., 2017; Baik, Ullrich, 2008) therefore it could be excellent raw material for functional food production.

One of main products used in daily diet is bread, unfortunately, barley is not popular for bakery products due to its poor baking properties and lower sensory acceptance of the products (Pejcz et al., 2016; Skendi et al., 2010; Jacobs et al., 2008), it does not contain gluten, which is necessary in bread making process. Bread producers are increasingly returning to simple raw materials and older technologies. Old processes, such as fermentation, are perceived as natural, and easier to understand than modern processes. The use of sourdough in the baking technology has been established as a traditional and potential process worldwide. Most of the positive effects on the texture and shelf life of the bread attributed to sourdough fermentation are caused by the produced metabolites (Su et al., 2019; Arendt et al., 2007). It has been demonstrated that sourdough improved the dough texture and bread quality of breads containing whole grain barley due to softening effect on bran particles during fermentation (Rieder et al., 2012) and increased arabinoxylans solubility (Pejcz et al., 2016; Gänzle 2014). The incorporation of naked barley in wheat bread and using different bread production methods strongly affects the quality of bread and is a possibility to increase bread nutritional value. Therefore, the aim of research was to evaluate nutritional characteristics, overall acceptability and storage possibility of bread enriched with naked barley grains or flour.

Materials and Methods

Raw materials

Grains and whole flour of a new naked barley variety ‘Kornelija’ (Stende, Latvia) characterised by high protein and β-glucans content and wheat flour Type 812 (Ltd Rigas Dzirnavnieks, Latvia) were used as main the raw materials. The chemical composition of raw material - naked barley grains of variety ‘Kornelija’ and wheat flour is summarised in the Table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Chemical composition of raw materials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients, per 100 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch (g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-glucans (g)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dough formulations and bread making

Bread was made using different methods: direct method was used for a control – wheat bread (sample C) and...
samples D30 and D40, where wheat flour was replaced with barley flour as showed in Table 2. For samples G30 and G40 wheat flour was replaced with 300 g and 400 g soaked barley grain, respectively. The added sourdough used in samples S30 and S40 was made from barley flour using Böcker (Germany) sourdough starter. Böcker sourdough starter work for all sourdough fermentation and contain the valuable lactic acid bacteria culture *Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis*. Additional ingredients for all bread recipes were 30 g sugar, 30 g salt and 30 g fresh baker’s yeast.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Ingredients, g</th>
<th>Wheat flour type</th>
<th>Naked barley flour</th>
<th>Naked barley grains</th>
<th>Water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>S12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>700</td>
<td>150/150</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>200/200</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 – naked barley flour in sourdough.
2 – naked barley flour in dough.
C – 100% wheat bread; D30 – 30% naked barley flour;
D40 – 40% naked barley flour; G30 – 30% naked barley grains;
G40 – 40% naked barley grains; S30 – 30% naked barley flour in sourdough;
S40 – 40% naked barley flour in sourdough.

The dough was mixed by means of a Varimixer Bear AR10 (Ltd Wodschow & Co., Denmark) mixer for 7 minutes (3 minutes at a speed of 1; 4 minutes at a speed of 2). The fermentation duration was 10 minutes at a room temperature 22±2 °C temperature. Then the dough was divided into pieces of 400±5 g, placed into a container (150x70x100 mm in size) and fermente for 40 minutes at a 35±3 °C temperature. The bread was baked in convective oven Sveba Dahlen S8 for 30 minutes at a 200±10 °C temperature, with steam for first 3 seconds. The bread baking experiments were repeated twice.

After cooling, the bread samples were packaged in the polyethylene bags and stored at room temperature (20±2 °C) for 5 days.

**Determination of loaf volume**

Loaf volume was measured by small seeds displacement method (Al-Saleh, Brennan, 2012). A container was used to measure the volume using rapeseeds. Seeds were poured into container of known volume until the bottom was covered. The loaf was placed inside the container which was then filled to the top with more seeds. The extra rapeseeds, which equal the loaf volume, were measured in a graduated cylinder. Samples were measured in triplicate, and the average data was recorded.

**Determination of weight loss**

Weight loss (WL) was calculated based on the dough weight before baking and after baking. The weight loss (%) of the test bread samples was calculated using the following equation (1):

\[ WL(\%) = \frac{W_{\text{dough}} - W_{\text{hot bread}}}{W_{\text{hot bread}}} \times 100 \]  

where:

\[ W_{\text{dough}} \] – weight of dough, g;
\[ W_{\text{hot bread}} \] – weight of bread after baking, g.

**Bread moisture content determination**

Moisture content of breadcrumb was determined using air-oven (Memmert GmbH, Germany) according AACC method 44-15.02 24 h, 72 h and 96 h after baking.

**Determination of bread hardness during storage**

Hardness (N) of samples’ breadcrumb was tested 24 h, 72 h and 96 h after baking using Texture Analyser – TA.HD.plus (Stable Micro Systems, UK) according to modified AACC method 74-09.01.

**Calculation of nutritional and energy values**

Nutrition and energy values were calculated using a specific Excel spreadsheet according Regulation (EU), No 1169/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2011 on the provision of food information to consumers.

**Sensory evaluation**

Wheat bread with naked barley flour and grain was evaluated by 39 panellists, with an average age of 38 years. A 7-point unstructured line scale was used for rating bread sensory attributes – colour, aroma, porosity, hardness and sour taste – intensity. Samples for panellists were assigned 3-digit codes and presented in a Williams’ Latin Square design. Warm black tea was used as palate cleansers between samples. The format of the evaluation sheet, data collection and interpretation of data were processed with FIZZ Aquistion Ver.2.51 software (Biosystemes, France).

**Data statistical analysis**

Statistical data analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0. Sensory evaluation data were analysed by ANOVA using Fisher’s least significant difference test (LSD) with a significance level of 95% (p<0.05).

**Results and Discussion**

Bread loaf volume is an important attribute of baked product quality as increased loaf volume leads to softer crumb, improved eating quality and higher consumer preference. High loaf volume of bread can be achieved by several parameters including flour quality, different ingredients, the baking process and addition of enzymes. Results of loaf volume measurements confirmed that high barley concentration led to decrease in loaf volume (Pejcz et al., 2016; Jacobs et al., 2008). Volume of samples D30 with 30% naked barley flour was determined higher – 811±11 mL than volume of samples D40 – 711±9 mL (Table 3 and Figure 1).
The negative changes of bread volume could be the result of an increased amount of dietary fibre and the presence of non-starch polysaccharides affecting gluten network weakening and lowering gas retention in the dough in consequence (Gänzle, 2014). Results of tested loaf volume, moisture and weight loss are summarised in the Table 3. Decrease in loaf volume in samples with higher barley content G40 versus G30 observed also when barley included as grains. The volume of bread samples with naked barley flours, grains and sourdough decreased compared to control (Figure 1). Bread samples with soaked naked barley grain on the crust were evident. The crust for bread samples with barley was not as smooth as the control sample. Al-Attabi et al. (2017) also found that crust sensory texture which was connected to the smoothness or roughness, decreased with the increasing substitution of barley flour or grain.

The results showed that moisture content was not influenced by amount of barley flour amount in dough, because in samples D30 and D40 determined the same moisture content, same as in bread samples S30 and S40 (Table 3). The smallest weight loss was determined in bread samples where included barley grains – G30, G40. This trend persistent in all storage time. Obtained results of moisture content (Figure 2) in storage time showed higher values in samples C, G30 and G40, it is in the wheat bread and in the bread with barley grains.

Grinding may affect the hydration properties, in particular, the kinetics of water uptake as the result of the increase of surface area, the fibres hydrate more rapidly (Dhingra et al., 2012).

Comparing samples with the same barley content D40, G40 and S40 concluded that higher loaf volume determined if barley included in dough as grains. Using sourdough method (samples S30 and S40) contributed to higher volume of bread 814 mL and 764 mL respectively, than using direct method (loaf volumes 811±11 mL and 711±9 mL). These results are in agreement with Pejcz et al. (2016) study where loaf volume using direct method was determined 530 cm³, but using sourdough method 557 cm³.

The hardness increased within 96 h storage in all samples (Figure 3). The smallest increase calculated for samples G30 (4.0 N) and C wheat bread (4.5N) while in samples D30, D40, S30, S40 increase of hardness was similar 12.9N; 12.6N; 12.5N and 13.5N respectively.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Moisture, %</th>
<th>Weight loss, %</th>
<th>Loaf volume, mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>58.0±2.2</td>
<td>11.3±0.6</td>
<td>1178±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D30</td>
<td>55.6±0.3</td>
<td>10.3±0.4</td>
<td>811±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D40</td>
<td>55.6±0.2</td>
<td>10.5±1.0</td>
<td>711±9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G30</td>
<td>58.6±3.3</td>
<td>9.8±0.9</td>
<td>880±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G40</td>
<td>55.9±0.2</td>
<td>9.2±0.7</td>
<td>781±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S30</td>
<td>54.6±0.2</td>
<td>10.2±0.1</td>
<td>814±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S40</td>
<td>55.2±0.3</td>
<td>10.4±0.2</td>
<td>764±30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C – 100% wheat bread; D30 – 30% naked barley flour; D40 – 40% naked barley flour; G30 – 30% naked barley grains; G40 – 40% naked barley grains; S30 – 30% naked barley flour in sourdough; S40 – 40% naked barley flour in sourdough
Results of hardness showed are similar for samples C, G30, G40. Hardness of samples with barley flour was significantly higher than hardness of samples with barley grains or wheat flour (p<0.05). Comparison of samples with the same amount of barley flour showed advantages of sourdough method because hardness of samples D30 determined higher (15.1±2.6 N) than hardness of samples S30 (14.1±2.0 N) similar in samples with 40% barley flour content.

Sheikholeslami et al. (2018) also concluded that effect of naked barley amount with guar gum on increasing the volume and decreasing the hardness of breadcrumb. The guar gum additive plays an important role here. With barley flour alone, it is difficult to reach a large volume of bread and good porosity.

Calculated nutritional value of bread samples assumed in Table 4.

Bread enriched with barley grains had significantly higher dietary fibre content including β-glycans content (p<0.05) and insignificantly higher protein and fat content (p>0.05). Increase of barley content in flour composition results in decrease in carbohydrates content and total energy value.

**Sensory evaluation of bread**

Sensory evaluation results showed, that the addition of naked barley (flour, grains or sourdough) did not affect (p=0.0513) the bread aroma intensity (Figure 4). There were no significant differences (p>0.05) in the intensity of bread crumb colour intensity between control (C) and bread with 30 and 40% barley grains (samples G30 and G40), but the crumb colour intensity influenced naked barley flour and sourdough – it became darker. Pejcz et al. (2017) reported, that a darker crumb colour of wheat bread with barley, influence consumers overall liking of bread samples. Kinner et al. (2011) found that addition of barley flour had a negative influence on bread volume and crumb texture.

**Nutrition value and energy value of bread samples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Bread samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy value, kcal</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy value, kJ</td>
<td>923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein content, g 100 g⁻¹</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat content, g 100 g⁻¹</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates, g 100 g⁻¹</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre, g 100 g⁻¹</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– including β-glycans</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C – 100% wheat bread; D30 – 30% naked barley flour; D40 – 40% naked barley flour; G30 – 30% naked barley grains; G40 – 40% naked barley grains; S30 – 30% naked barley flour in sourdough; S40 – 40% naked barley flour in sourdough.
Similar results have been obtained in our research – samples D30 and D40 had less pronounced porosity. Though adding naked barley grains (30% and 40%), it is possible to obtain bread with good porosity. In this study a strong negative correlation (r=–0.85) was found between bread porosity and hardness – bread has smaller pores in crumb, thus increasing the hardness of bread. The addition of sourdough from naked barley has a significant effect (p<0.05) on the sour taste of the analysed bread samples, resulting from the action of lactic acid bacteria of starter.

Conclusions
Bread enriched with barley grains has significantly higher content of dietary fibre, including β-glycans, higher protein and fat. Increase of barley content in flour composition results in decrease in carbohydrates and total energy value.

Results confirmed that high barley amount in dough led to decrease in loaf volume of bread – higher loaf volume of bread determined when barley included in dough as grains. Comparison of samples with same amount of barley flour showed advantages of sourdough method – higher loaf volume and smaller hardness in storage time. Though adding naked barley grains to 30% and 40%, it is possible to obtain bread with good porosity, but addition of sourdough increased the sour taste of bread.
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