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Abstract. Increased use of resources, plundering economy, and intensification of environmental pollution raises continuously more problems. The question arises: in what way could such a phenomenon be prevented. After Poland’s accession to the EU, there has been significant progress in environmental protection. It was caused, among other factors, by an increase in expenditures on fixed assets for environmental protection. The objective of the study is to assess activities in the field of wastewater management and water protection in financing investments on fixed assets. It was discovered that spatially these expenditures were remarkably diverse. Significant differences in outlays also occurred between rural and urban areas.
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Introduction

Increasing use of environmental resources by population creates many problems that not only deteriorate environmental value but also may be a threat to the society’s safety and well-being. Environmental problems deriving from intense utilization of materials regard both environment and society (Golebiewska B. et. al., 2016). The must for counteracting these problems causes the demand for measuring the influence and impact of human actions (new technologies, techniques) on environment. However, it becomes more difficult because, as Simmons (1979) stated in the 70s, it is not wise to evaluate the impact of human on environment, because the loss of environmental values cannot be expressed in monetary values. It is still relevant today, though increasingly more often there are attempts of assessing those values (e.g. Wilkin J., 2010; Brelik A., 2013; Pajewski T., 2016), even though these are priceless goods (non-marketable goods). Zylicz (2007) brings attention to that, pointing that a few decades ago economy was helpless in evaluating non-marketable goods. It is worth discussing how accurate those assessments are in comparison to the real value of public goods. Using those measures may be helpful though in evaluating amends (fees) for generating devastation to the environment.

In recent years in Poland, especially after joining the EU, a significant advance has been made in environmental protection. The main cause of that was the need to meet numerous obligations against the EU. Hence there is a need to increase environmental expenditure. According to Wicki and Wicka (2016), Golebiewski, Rakowska (2017) in order to introduce new solutions, financial resources are needed for this purpose, which are not always sufficient. In the last decade, significant growth in the outlays on fixed assets for environmental protection has been made. Amongst those outlays, there are expenditures on atmospheric air and climate protection, wastewater management and water conservation, waste management, preservation of soil and restoring its agricultural value, protection of underground and surface water, reduction in noise and vibration, biodiversity and landscape conservation and protection against ionizing radiation (Environment 2016).

Research and analysis in the field of environmental protection expenditures are increasingly undertaken by many authors (including Famielec J. (ed.), 2005; Koziol J., 2005; Poskrobko B., 2007; Bujanowicz-Haras B., 2009; Fura B., 2010; Golebiewska B., Slusarz G., 2014). The sources and directions of investment are being assessed. Economic aspects of environmental protection are the subject of many studies concerning, among others, development of agriculture in protected
areas (Boltromiuk A., 2003), determinants of eco-development in protected areas (Poplawski L., 2009), or analysis of Natura 2000 protected areas (Klodzinski M., 2012). The aim of the study is to assess environmental protection measures in the field of sewage management and water protection in terms of financing investments in fixed assets used for this protection. The investments related to wastewater management and water protection include equipment for the disposal and treatment of industrial and municipal wastewater, rainwater (sewage) and contaminated mine water discharged directly into surface waters and into the ground. These include mainly sewage treatment plants or their elements in accordance with the purification technology (mechanical, chemical, biological and increased biogenic removal), also include individual household sewage treatment plants and investments related to the preliminary sewage treatment, devices for the economic use of sewage, for the utilization, collection and transport of waters. The scope of data also includes construction of a sanitary sewage system discharging sewage and rainwater, equipment for processing and management of sludge from sewage treatment plants, circulating water supply systems, safeguards against penetration to rivers, seas and other water reservoirs pollutants arising in water transport, creation of protection zones for sources and water intakes (Environment, 2016). Expenditures for fixed assets concerning sewage management and water protection have been selected for the research, since they were the main direction of spending funds for environmental protection in Poland (Figure 1). As indicated also in the Guidance on wastewater management in the context of the implementation of the national municipal wastewater treatment program (Poradnik ..., 2010), Council Directive 91/271/EEC concerning urban wastewater treatment is one of the most expensive and difficult to implement EU legal acts. At the same time, it plays a fundamental role in the management of municipal sewage and the protection of the aquatic environment, including surface waters to which they are discharged. Therefore, this issue and its implementation can be considered as one of the main problems in the field of environmental protection.
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Fig. 1. Outlays on fixed assets for environmental protection by investment directions

---
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Research methodology

Information on expenditure of fixed assets for environmental protection and their material effects since 1999 is presented in accordance with the Polish Statistical Classification on Activities and Equipment Related to Environmental Protection introduced by the Regulation of the Council of Ministers of March 2, 1999 (Dz.U. 1999 nr 25 poz. 218). These documents contain information on both global, regional and local problems, including waste, sewage, water and soil protection, noise reduction and biodiversity and landscape conservation. In Poland, there is considerable spatial differentiation in the situation of agricultural production. Among others, Franc-Dabrowska (2013) draws attention to this. This also applies to investments in environmental protection. This is related, to the specificity of regions or smaller (local) territorial units that have an impact on the pace and directions of both economic and social development. The study analyses the expenditures in spatial layout in Poland by voivodships. Data pointing to the differences between urban and rural areas have been used as well.

In urban areas, due to greater population, environmental problems are more noticeable. Large cities generate a very high demand for resources and "produce" more waste, or sewage. For this reason, they may require higher investment outlays for devices to prevent ecological instability. Selected research tasks:

- displaying the level of outlays for wastewater management and water protection in entire country of Poland and in separate voivodships;
- determining the number of residents using sewage treatment plants, as well as individual treatment plants, mainly in rural areas. The following analyses were used: GUS (Central Statistical Office) data in the field of environmental protection, information from the Chief Inspectorate for Environmental Protection, data from the Local Data Bank, information published by the Ministry of the Environment, the National Water Management Board and available literature on the subject.

Research results and discussion

Changes in the level of expenditures on wastewater management and water protection

High priority in environmental protection has been given to restoring water purity. Adapted to the requirements of EU directives, the National Programme for Municipal Waste Water Treatment (NPMWWT) was supposed to equip all agglomerations above 2 thousand residents in collective sewage systems and municipal wastewater treatment plants by 2015. Pre-accession conversations negotiated adaptive transitional periods for the introduction of the above-mentioned regulations by the end of 2015. In the years 2000-2015, 851 municipal wastewater treatment plants were created (Environment, 2016). However, the assumptions were not accomplished, and the document has already been updated fifth time. It assumes creating in 2016-2021 116 new wastewater treatment plants and other investments on 1010 wastewater treatment plants (Biuletyn ..., 2017). The reasons for many delays, according to data from municipalities reports, are lengthy administrative and preparatory procedures for investments, long-term tendering procedures resulting from public procurement regulations, lengthy procedures for preparing and signing memoranda for co-financing projects from EU funds, problems related to land ownership, or also the lack of financial resources for implementation (Sprawozdanie ..., 2014).
In Poland, in the years 2003-2016, there were significant differences in expenditure on wastewater management and water protection. This can be observed while comparing the values calculated per capita (Figure 2).

![Graph showing outlays on fixed assets for environmental protection and water management per capita]


Fig. 2. Outlays on fixed assets for environmental protection and water management per capita

Since 2004, slow but steady growth was observed in environmental protection expenditures, due to utilisation of EU funds for co-financing investment projects. The situation lasted until 2011. In 2012-2013, expenditures became lower to grow again in 2014-2015. In 2016, expenditures dropped down dramatically, it might have been caused by finalising many investments financed from EU funds for the years 2007-2013 and due to the fact that since 2016, the funds for 2014-2020 have not yet been completely utilised. Data in spatial terms, presented in Figure 3, point to the highest outlays in Slaskie and Mazowieckie voivodeship in the analysed period. High expenditures also occurred in Wielkopolska, Dolny Slask and Malopolska. It was caused, among other factors, due to occurrence of large urban areas in these regions, in which investments are more common than in the rural areas.
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**Fig. 3.** Expenditure on the wastewater economy on average in the years 2002-2016 by voivodships

Determination of demand for investments in wastewater management requires determining existing needs. Because of the lack of information about the number of required investments, the ratio of people using sewage treatment plants to the number of citizens of voivodeship was established. This information allows identifying needs for further investments. Because of large contrast in the amount of people using sewage treatment plants between rural and urban areas, analysis also included such spectrum (Figure 4).


**Fig. 4.** Average share of people using sewage treatment plants in the years 2002-2016 by voivodships

The data presented indicate that in the cities the lowest share of population, whose household is connected to the sewage system, was the Mazowieckie voivodeship (less than 80%). Rural areas generally have close to half of that number of residents using the connection to the sewage system. The largest share was held by the Pomorskie and Zachodniopomorskie voivodships, although this share was still less than 50%. This indicates how much work still needs to be done regarding wastewater treatment plants in rural areas. Analysing changes in the scope of growth or
decrease in the share of urban and rural residents using sewage treatment plants, it should be noted that since the beginning of the twenty-first century there have been major changes in rural areas (Figure 5). These changes ranged from 10 \% (Podlaskie voivodship) to even more than 40 \% (Opole voivodship). This indicates high demand and existing shortages in rural areas in the scope of existing sewage treatment plants.

In cities, these changes were not so large, which is due to the large number of existing treatment plants. However, the Mazowieckie and Zachodniopomorskie voivodeships were also characterized by a significant increase in the number of residents using the treatment plant. From the presented results it could be concluded that the situation in rural areas is still unfavourable. Although it should also be considered that there are many individual wastewater treatment plants in the countryside (Figure 6).

![Fig. 5. Changes in the share of population using sewage treatment plants in the total population in cities and in rural areas in the years 2002-2016 (\%)](source)

![Fig. 6. Individual rural wastewater treatment plants commissioned for use in the years 2002-2016 (pcs)](source)
not always associated with an increase in outlays. Data presented in Figure 7 indicate that the highest expenditures were incurred in the Kujawsko-Pomorskie Voivodeship and then Mazowieckie.

Fig. 7. Investment expenditures for individual rural wastewater treatment plants in the years 2003-2016

In Wielkopolska, many sewage treatment plants were also built, while expenditures were lower than, for example, in Podlaskie, where despite higher expenditures than in the Wielkopolskie voivodship, less sewage treatment plants were created. This points to a differentiation in the size, efficiency or innovation of the treatment plants being constructed.

Conclusion

Wastewater management and water protection in Poland is one of the main directions of spending expenditure on fixed assets in the field of environmental protection. In the case of sewage management and water protection in 2016, around 77 % were invested in the construction of a sewerage network and 20 % for wastewater treatment.

It was found that there is a significant variation in the expenditures incurred between the cities and the rural areas. In cities, due to greater population, environmental problems are more noticeable. Hence, big cities generate more rubbish, waste or sewage. The share of people using wastewater treatment plants in rural areas is much lower than in cities, although in the case of villages private sewage treatment plants need to be considered. Therefore, there is still a need to contribute higher expenditure on wastewater management and water protection in rural areas. In addition to financial resources, attention should be turned to other reasons for many shortcomings and delays in the implementation of investments. The main ones, among others, are chronic administrative procedures and preparatory procedures for investments or long-term tendering procedures.

After Poland’s accession to the EU, there has been significant progress in environmental protection, including limiting the dependence of economic growth on environmental pressures. Further actions in environmental protection expenditures, including wastewater management and water protection, are a priority in the process of implementing the principles of pro-environmental activities in economic development. Membership in the EU requires the implementation of many
obligations related to maintaining requirements in environmental protection, and in this respect a high priority has been given to restoring water purity and expenditure on wastewater management.
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